Table of Contents
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS

### ARTICLES

**Why and How to Teach Federal Courts Today**
*Richard H. Fallon, Jr*

**Teaching Federal Courts: Federal Judges as Problem Solvers**
*Michael J. Gerhardt*

**Why Law Students Should Take the Federal Courts Course**
*Roger Goldman*

**Another Voice for the “Dialogue”: Federal Courts as a Litigation Course**
*Arthur D. Hellman*

**Federal Jurisdiction According to Professor Frankfurter**
*Evan Tsen Lee*

**Teaching Federal Courts: From Bottom Line to Mystery**
*Laura E. Little*

**Teaching Federal Courts Where Outcomes Matter: A Curricular Conjecture**
*Richard A. Matasar*
TRIANGULATING STANDING .......................... James E. Pfander 829

WHY I DON’T TEACH FEDERAL COURTS ANYMORE, BUT MAYBE AM OR WILL AGAIN .................................. Georgene Vairo 843

A LITIGATION-ORIENTED APPROACH TO TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS ........................................ Michael L. Wells 857

COMMENTS

FACTORIZING IN TRADITION:
THE PROPER ROLE OF THE TRADITIONAL GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTION TEST ........................................ John J. Greffet, Jr. 875

SHOOTING FOR THE MOON:
THE INNOCENCE OF THE NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND ACT’S ONE HUNDRED PERCENT PROFICIENCY GOAL AND ITS CONSEQUENCES ....................... Matthew D. Knepper 899

THE ERIE DOCTRINE & MCDONNELL DOUGLAS FRAMEWORK: MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING OR AN ISSUE WORTH ANALYZING? ................. Matthew M. Petersen 927