

Saint Louis University Public Law Review

Volume 18
Number 1 *Gun Control (Vol. XVIII, No. 1)*

Article 1

1999

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/plr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(1999) "Table of Contents," *Saint Louis University Public Law Review*. Vol. 18 : No. 1 , Article 1.
Available at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/plr/vol18/iss1/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Saint Louis University Public Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarship Commons. For more information, please contact [Susie Lee](#).

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY PUBLIC LAW REVIEW

Vol. XVIII, No. 1

1999

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SYMPOSIUM GUN CONTROL

TAKING GUNS SERIOUSLY: COMMON SENSE GUN CONTROL TO KEEP GUNS OUT OF THE HANDS OF KIDS AND CRIMINALS	Senator Richard J. Durbin	1
THE HERITAGE OF OUR RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS	Representative Cliff Stearns	13
BATF GUN TRACE DATA AND THE ROLE OF ORGANIZED GUN TRAFFICKING IN SUPPLYING GUNS TO CRIMINALS	Gary Kleck	23
CHILD ACCESS PREVENTION LAWS: A COMMON SENSE APPROACH TO GUN CONTROL	Andrew J. McClurg	47
THE GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968.....	William J. Vizzard	79
THE SUPREME COURT’S THIRTY-FIVE <i>OTHER</i> GUN CASES: WHAT THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID ABOUT THE SECOND AMENDMENT	David B. Kopel	99
THE SOUND OF SILENCE: THE SUPREME COURT AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT – A RESPONSE TO PROFESSOR KOPEL	David Yassky	189
THE SOUNDS OF THE SUPREMES: A REPLY TO PROFESSOR YASSKY.....	David B. Kopel	203

GUNS AND JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE:
DETERRENCE AND DEFENSE.....Lawrence Southwick, Jr. 217

CITY LAWSUITS AGAINST THE GUN
INDUSTRY: A ROADMAP FOR REFORMING
GUN INDUSTRY MISCONDUCT Brian J. Siebel 247

NOTES AND COMMENTS

RUNNING AFOUL OF THE PRINCIPLE OF
NON-REFOULEMENT: EXPEDITED REMOVAL
UNDER THE ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION REFORM
AND IMMIGRANT RESPONSIBILITY ACT.....James E. Crowe, III 291

LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT
SHIELDS SCHOOL DISTRICTS FROM EMPLOYER
LIABILITY UNDER TITLE IX *GEBSER V. LAGO*
VISTA INDEP. SCHOOL DIST. Latosha Higgins 317

WHO IS REALLY BEING PROTECTED BY
REGULATION OF THE COMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY?: IMPLICATIONS OF *AMERICAN*
TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH CO. V. CENTRAL
OFFICE TELEPHONE, INC. Mark C. Young 345