

Saint Louis University Law Journal

Volume 60
Number 3 *Teaching Criminal Procedure (Spring
2016)*

Article 1

2016

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/lj>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 60 St. Louis U. L.J. (2016).

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/lj/vol60/iss3/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Saint Louis University Law Journal by an authorized editor of Scholarship Commons. For more information, please contact [Susie Lee](#).

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL

Vol. 60, No. 3

SPRING 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TEACHING CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

IMPORTANCE OF STATE LAW IN POLICE REFORM.....	<i>Roger Goldman</i>	363
RECONSIDERING CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: TEACHING THE LAW OF THE POLICE	<i>Rachel Harmon</i>	391
TEACHING CRIMINAL PROCEDURE: WHY SOCRATES WOULD USE YOUTUBE	<i>Stephen E. Henderson</i> <i>Joseph Thai</i>	413
A THEMATIC APPROACH TO TEACHING CRIMINAL ADJUDICATION	<i>Adriaan Lanni</i> <i>Carol Steiker</i>	463
MAKING BLACK AND BROWN LIVES MATTER: INCORPORATING RACE INTO THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CURRICULUM	<i>Cynthia Lee</i>	481
BURYING THE LEDE: WHY TEACHING THE DUE PROCESS CASES IS CRITICAL TO INVESTIGATIONS IN CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.....	<i>Tracey L. Meares</i>	497

TEACHING CRIMINAL PROCEDURE— ESPECIALLY THE FOURTH AMENDMENT ON ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE— TO EVERYONE BUT LAW STUDENTS	<i>Brian L. Owsley</i>	507
--	------------------------	-----

AGAINST PROFESSING: PRACTICING CRITICAL CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.....	<i>Mae C. Quinn</i>	515
---	---------------------	-----

TEACHING A COURSE ON REGULATION OF POLICE INVESTIGATION— A MULTI-PERSPECTIVE, PROBLEM-ORIENTED COURSE	<i>Christopher Slobogin</i>	527
--	-----------------------------	-----

CHOOSING A CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CASEBOOK: ON LESSER EVILS AND FREE BOOKS	<i>Ben Trachtenberg</i>	543
---	-------------------------	-----

NOTE

THE EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT, REGULATION B, AND SPOUSAL GUARANTEES: THE MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT’S INCORRECT DECISION TO UPHOLD THE VALIDITY OF REGULATION B’S EXPANDED DEFINITION OF “APPLICANT” IN <i>FRONTENAC BANK V.</i> <i>T.R. HUGHES, INC.</i>	<i>Justin Ladendorf</i>	553
---	-------------------------	-----