

Saint Louis University Public Law Review

Volume 31

Number 1 *A New Era for Plea Bargaining and Sentencing?: The Aftermath of Padilla v. Kentucky* (Volume XXXI, No. 1)

Article 1

2011

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/plr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(2011) "Table of Contents," *Saint Louis University Public Law Review*. Vol. 31 : No. 1 , Article 1.

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.slu.edu/plr/vol31/iss1/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Saint Louis University Public Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarship Commons. For more information, please contact [Susie Lee](#).

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY PUBLIC LAW REVIEW

Vol. XXXI, No. 1

2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A NEW ERA FOR PLEA BARGAINING AND SENTENCING?: THE AFTERMATH OF *PADILLA* v. *KENTUCKY*

FOREWORD	Robbie Hinz	
	Emma Schuering	1

ARTICLES

INTRODUCTION	Lynn S. Branham	3
--------------------	-----------------	---

PENALTY AND PROPORTIONALITY IN DEPORTATION FOR CRIMES	Maureen Sweeney Hillary Scholten	11
--	-------------------------------------	----

TRANSPORTING <i>PADILLA</i> TO DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS: A DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.....	Stephen H. Legomsky	43
---	---------------------	----

TAKING PLEA BARGAINING SERIOUSLY: REFORMING PRE-SENTENCE REPORTS AFTER <i>PADILLA</i> v. <i>KENTUCKY</i>	Gabriel J. Chin	61
---	-----------------	----

THE MYTH OF THE FULLY INFORMED RATIONAL ACTOR.....	Stephanos Bibas	79
---	-----------------	----

COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES AFTER <i>PADILLA V. KENTUCKY</i> : FROM PUNISHMENT TO REGULATION.....	Margaret Colgate Love	87
A PROSECUTOR’S EXPANDED RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER <i>PADILLA</i>	Robert M. A. Johnson	129
“COLLATERAL” NO MORE: THE PRACTICAL IMPERATIVE FOR HOLISTIC DEFENSE IN A POST- <i>PADILLA</i> WORLD . . . OR, HOW TO ACHIEVE CONSISTENTLY BETTER RESULTS FOR CLIENTS	McGregor Smyth	139
THE IMPLICATIONS OF <i>PADILLA V. KENTUCKY</i> ON PRACTICE IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS.....	Judge Robert Pratt	169
INCORPORATING COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCES INTO SENTENCING GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS POST- <i>PADILLA</i>	Michael A. Wolff	183

NOTES

THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AND UNWARRANTED GPS SURVEILLANCE: AN ANALYSIS OF THE D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS’ DECISION IN <i>UNITED STATES V. MAYNARD</i>	Margaret C. Eveker	193
<i>BERGHUIS V. THOMPkins</i> : THE SUPREME COURT’S “NEW” TAKE ON INVOCATION AND WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT	Emma Schuering	221